



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/929,427	08/13/2001	John M. Danskin	NVIDP044/P000245	9665
28875 7.	590 11/15/2004		EXAM	INER
Zilka-Kotab, PC			BAYAT, ALI	
P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	00/000 407					
	09/929,427	DANSKIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ali Bayat	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/1	<u>13/2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Ti	nis action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,11,12 and 17-22 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7-10 and 14-16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination (s) The drawing(s) filed on 13 August 2001 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction (s) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) accepted or b) che drawing(s) be held in abeyonection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least complex of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have been received been received in the contract of the	Application No In received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/19/03.	Paper No	/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7,9,13,15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 7,9,13,15 and 21, on line 3 of each of these claims the phrase "textels" is not clear to Examiner, appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 11 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because; the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 11 is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Since the computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the computer program itself is not a process and Office personnel should treat a claim for a computer program, without the computer-readable medium needed to realize the computer program's functionality, as nonstatutory functional descriptive material. See – MPEP 2106.

Claims 20-22, are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Because claims 20-22, do not execute any function; there is no specific limitation of data structure, which provides a functional relationship between data stored in the storage medium (memory).

Art Unit: 2625

The storage medium (memory) simply includes a mere arrangement of data, which is considered as "non-functional descriptive material" Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material stored in a computer-readable medium (memory) does not make it statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6, 11,12,17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goris et al. (U.S. 6,243,081).

In regard to claim 1, Goris provides, a) sending a request for compressed texture data to memory (Fig.11, element 1102, col.10 lines 3-12); b) receiving the compressed texture data from the memory (col.10, lines 3-5); c) identifying at least one of a plurality of compression algorithms associated with the compressed texture data (Fig.11 element 1114, col.10, lines 30-41); and d) decompressing the compressed texture data in accordance with the identified compression algorithm (Fig.11 element 1114, col.10, lines 30-41).

Art Unit: 2625

With regard to claim 2, Goris provides, for a method, further compressing the texture data utilizing the plurality of compression algorithms, selecting the most favorable compressed texture data, and storing the most favorable compressed texture data in the memory (col.10, lines 30-41, also col.3, lines 52-59).

As to claim 3. See claim 2 above. It recites similar limitation as claim 3. Hence it is similarly analyzed and rejected.

In regard to claim 4, Goris provides for a method, further comprising storing a mode identifier with the compressed texture data (col.3, lines 57-59).

With regard to claim 5, Goris provides for a method, wherein the at least one of the plurality of compression algorithms associated with the compressed texture data is identified utilizing the mode identifier (Fig.5, element 506, col.6, lines 46-57).

As to claim 6, Goris provides for a method, wherein the mode identifier includes a mode bit (Fig.5, element 506).

In regard to claim 11, as best understood, see claim 1 above. It recites similar limitation as claim 11. Hence it is similarly analyzed and rejected.

As to claim 12. See claim 1 above. It recites similar limitation as claim 12. Hence it is similarly analyzed and rejected.

With regard to claim 17. See claim 1 above. It recites similar limitation as claim 17. Hence it is similarly analyzed and rejected.

In regard to claim 18, Goris provides for a multi-mode texture compression method for during graphics processing (Fig.5), comprising: a) compressing texture data utilizing a plurality of compression algorithms (Fig.5 element 506, col.120 lines 44-57);

Art Unit: 2625

b) selecting the most favorable compressed texture data (Fig.5 element 506, lines 49-50); c) storing the most favorable compressed texture data in memory (Fig.5 element 104, col.6 line 40); storing a mode bit with the most favorable compressed texture data in the memory (Fig.5 element 506, col.6 lines 46-49, note that mode bit is inherited in the field 506, which is contained in header 502); e) sending a request for compressed texture data to memory (Fig.11, element 1102, col.10 lines 3-12); f) receiving the compressed texture data from the memory (col.10, lines 3-5); determining the mode bit associated with the received compressed texture data (Fig.11, element 114, col.10 lines 20-30);h) identifying at least one of a plurality of compression algorithms associated with the compressed texture data based on the mode bit (Fig.11 element 1114, col.10, lines 30-41); and i) decompressing the compressed texture data in accordance with the identified compression algorithm (Fig.11 element 1114, col.10, lines 30-41).

As to claim 19. See claim 18 above. It recites similar limitation as claim 19. Hence it is similarly analyzed and rejected.

Objected Claims

4. Claims 8,10,14 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2625

Objected Claims

5. Claims 7,9,13 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Bayat whose telephone number is 703-306-5915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 9:00-7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 703-3085246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ali Bayat Ali Bayat Patent examiner
Group Art Unit 2625
11/14/04

KANJIBHAI PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER